

Get the Facts

1) Prescription Drug Companies, Insurance Companies, and Health Care Costs

| Claim | Backup |
|---|--|
| <p>On the very same day Miller-Meeks opposed capping the price of insulin at \$35/month for seniors on Medicare</p> | <p><u><i>On August 12, 2022, Miller-Meeks voted against the Inflation Reduction Act, which capped the cost of insulin at \$35 per month for seniors on Medicare</i></u></p> <p><u>H.R. 5376, Roll Call #420, 8/12/2022.</u> Miller-Meeks - NAY</p> <p><u><i>“Insulin Affordability and the Inflation Reduction Act: Medicare Beneficiary Savings by State and Demographics”, HHS, 1/24/2023.</i></u> <i>Effective January 1, 2023, out-of-pocket costs for insulin are capped at \$35 per monthly prescription among Medicare Part D enrollees under the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA).</i> A similar cap takes effect in Medicare Part B on July 1, 2023. An estimated 1.5 million Medicare beneficiaries who use insulin would have saved \$734 million in Part D and \$27 million in Part B if these caps had been in effect in 2020.</p> <p><u><i>“Insulin prices plunge for lowans due to Biden’s American Rescue Plan”, Iowa Starting Line, 1/3/2024.</i></u> <i>Passed in 2022, the Inflation Reduction Act implemented a \$35 monthly cap on insulin for Medicare recipients.</i> As a result, nearly 19,000 Iowa seniors on Medicare who use insulin are now <u>charged no more than \$35 per month</u> for an insulin prescription.</p> |
| <p>she received a campaign contribution from a PAC for one of the largest insulin manufacturers in the country.</p> | <p><u><i>That same day, she took a \$1,000 contribution from Eli Lilly and Company PAC</i></u></p> <p><u><i>“Miller-Meeks took money from insulin maker, then voted against insulin caps”, Iowa Starting Line, 8/9/2024.</i></u> For years, Miller-Meeks took thousands from pharmaceutical companies, and she received \$1,000 from an insulin manufacturer on the day she voted against a bill to cap insulin prices.</p> <p>Before voting against a bill that would cap insulin costs and allow the government to negotiate Medicare drug costs, Republican Rep. Mariannette Miller-Meeks, who represents Iowa’s First Congressional District in southeast Iowa, took thousands from an insulin manufacturer and other pharmaceutical companies.</p> <p>Records from the <u>Federal Election Commission</u> show Miller-Meeks received \$1,000 from Eli Lilly and Company PAC on Aug. 12, 2022, the day the Inflation Reduction Act was voted on in the US House of Representatives.</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>October Quarterly Report – Miller-Meeks for Congress, Federal Election Commission filed, 9/15/2022.</u> Eli Lilly and Company PAC - \$1,000</p> <p><u>“Globally, Top 10 Insulin Manufacturers Are Dominated By Europe and North America”, Envision Intelligence, accessed 5/29/2026.</u> Key players in the insulin market include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Novo Nordisk A/S (Denmark) • Sanofi S.A. (France) • Eli Lilly and Company (U.S.) • Bioton S.A. (Poland) • Wockhardt Ltd. (India) • Julphar (UAE) <p><u>“Drugmakers that dominate the world's insulin market must scale up access efforts globally”, Access to Medicine Foundation, 10/6/2022.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The three companies that dominate the global insulin market – Eli Lilly, Novo Nordisk and Sanofi – are pursuing a patchwork of strategies to expand access to their products in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs). |
| <p>As health care and drug prices skyrocket,</p> | <p><u>“Drugmakers raise US prices on 350 medicines despite pressure from Trump”, CNN, 1/1/2026.</u> <i>Drugmakers plan to raise U.S. prices on at least 350 branded medications including vaccines against COVID, RSV and shingles and blockbuster cancer treatment Ibrance</i>, even as the Trump administration pressures them for cuts, according to data provided exclusively by healthcare research firm 3 Axis Advisors.</p> <p>The number of price increases for 2026 is up from the same point last year, when drugmakers unveiled plans for raises on more than 250 drugs. The median of this year’s price hikes is around 4% - in line with 2025.</p> |
| <p>Miller-Meeks has taken nearly \$650,000 from the drug and insurance industries</p> | <p><u>According to Open Secrets, Miller-Meeks has taken nearly \$650,000 from the drug and insurance companies.</u></p> <p><u>Money from Pharmaceuticals / Health Products to US House candidates, Open Secrets, 1990-2024</u> Miller-Meeks: \$261,698</p> <p><u>Money from Insurance to US House candidates, Open Secrets, 1990-2024</u> Miller-Meeks: \$303,330.</p> <p><u>Money from Pharmaceuticals Manufacturing to US House Candidates, Open Secrets, 1990 to 2024.</u> Miller-Meeks: \$83,137.</p> |
| <p>while giving them over \$8</p> | <p><i>The One Big Beautiful Bill restricted Medicare’s ability to negotiate lower</i></p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| <p>billion in special handouts.</p> | <p><u>prices, giving drugmakers \$8.8 billion in higher profits paid for by seniors and taxpayers</u></p> <p><u>“H.R 1”, Roll call #190, 7/3/2025.</u> Miller-Meeks – Yea</p> <p><u>“Revised Estimate of Changes Under the 2025 Reconciliation Act for Exemptions From Medicare Price Negotiations for Orphan Drugs”, Congressional Budget Office, 10/20/2025.</u></p> <p>In CBO’s current assessment, the new law will affect price negotiations for several orphan drugs not originally included in the estimates of budgetary effects of section 71203 of the 2025 reconciliation act. After incorporating those drugs into its analysis, <i>CBO now estimates that the 10-year cost of the section will be \$8.8 billion.</i></p> <p><u>“Statement: CBO Says Trump and Republicans Just Handed Big Pharma an \$8.8 Billion Gift While Seniors Get Stuck With the Bill”, Protect Our Care, 10/20/2025.</u></p> <p>Today, the nonpartisan Congressional Budget Office (CBO) <u>revealed</u> that Medicare will be missing out on \$8.8 billion in savings over 10 years due to prescription drug pricing provisions in Donald Trump and Republicans’ tax bill.</p> <p>In the GOP bill, big drug companies scored one of their <u>biggest victories</u> in years, putting new restrictions on when and what prescription drugs Medicare can negotiate. Many of the medications that would be exempted under the new loophole are some of the most profitable drugs on the market and treat conditions such as cancer and hypertension. Seniors who rely on these life-saving medications will now have to wait longer for relief or may not receive any at all.</p> <p>The only reason to do this is to pad the profits of big drug companies, which are so profitable that they could lose <u>\$1 trillion</u> in revenue over a decade and still be the most profitable industry in the world.</p> |
| <p>Worse, Miller-Meeks tried to hide a sweetheart deal on a Washington rental home – owned by a Pharma lobbyist</p> | <p><u>Miller-Meeks was caught using taxpayer dollars to pay her rent on a D.C. apartment owned by a drug company lobbyist; her office refused to provide any documents associated with the transactions.</u></p> <p><u>“Rep. Miller-Meeks spent as much as \$26,000 in taxpayer funds to rent DC apartment from pharma lobbyists”, Iowa Starting Line, 4/13/2026.</u> <i>Iowa Rep. Mariannette Miller-Meeks rented a Washington, D.C. apartment from two lobbyists whose firm was paid \$1.8 million to lobby Congress on behalf of one of the pharmaceutical industry’s main trade groups.</i> Records from the US House of Representatives show she used \$26,000 in congressional office funds to pay for housing in DC.</p> <p>Miller-Meeks rented a row house apartment in Washington, DC’s Capitol Hill neighborhood, just two blocks south of the Capitol. Her landlords,</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p>Carlyle “Carl” Thorsen and James “Alec” French, are two lobbyists who frequented The Hill’s list of Washington’s top lobbyists. The pair founded Washington, DC lobbying firm Thorsen French Advocacy, which made nearly \$2 million lobbying on behalf of Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA).</p> <p>...</p> <p>A campaign spokesperson added that Miller-Meeks “rented a basement apartment near the Capitol at fair market value in accordance with House ethics rules,” and that the lease has since been terminated. <i>The campaign declined to make the lease available for review, saying standard residential leases are not reported on financial disclosure forms. A spokesperson also declined to say for how long the lease lasted.</i></p> <p><u>“Rep. Miller-Meeks spent as much as \$26,000 in taxpayer funds to rent DC apartment from pharma lobbyists”, Iowa Starting Line, 4/13/2026.</u></p> <p>While sitting on a House subcommittee that oversees drug pricing, <i>Iowa Rep. Mariannette Miller-Meeks was renting her DC apartment from lobbyists paid millions to fight prescription drug cost reforms on behalf of the pharmaceutical industry.</i></p> |
| <p>using over twenty five thousand of our taxpayer dollars to pay for it.</p> | <p><u><i>Records from the US House of Representatives show she used \$26,000 in congressional office funds to pay for that housing in D.C.</i></u></p> <p><u>“Rep. Miller-Meeks spent as much as \$26,000 in taxpayer funds to rent DC apartment from pharma lobbyists”, Iowa Starting Line, 4/13/2026.</u></p> <p>While sitting on a House subcommittee that oversees drug pricing, Iowa Rep. Mariannette Miller-Meeks was renting her DC apartment from lobbyists paid millions to fight prescription drug cost reforms on behalf of the pharmaceutical industry.</p> <p>Iowa Rep. Mariannette Miller-Meeks rented a Washington, D.C. apartment from two lobbyists whose firm was <u>paid \$1.8 million</u> to lobby Congress on behalf of one of the pharmaceutical industry’s main trade groups. <i>Records from the US House of Representatives show she used \$26,000 in congressional office funds to pay for housing in DC.</i></p> |

2) Pay to Play Corruption

| Claim | Backup |
|---|---|
| <p>Mariannette Miller-Meeks is bought and paid for by the drug companies.</p> | <p><u>Miller-Meeks has accepted nearly \$350,000 in campaign contributions from the drug industry.</u></p> <p>Money from Pharmaceuticals / Health Products to US House candidates, Open Secrets, 1990-2024 Miller-Meeks: \$261,698</p> <p>Money from Pharmaceuticals Manufacturing to US House Candidates, Open Secrets, 1990 to 2024. Miller-Meeks: \$83,137.</p> |
| <p>On the very same day she opposed capping the price of insulin at \$35/month for seniors on Medicare</p> | <p><u>On August 12, 2022, Miller-Meeks voted against the Inflation Reduction Act, which capped the cost of insulin at \$35 per month for seniors on Medicare</u></p> <p>H.R. 5376, Roll Call #420, 8/12/2022. Miller-Meeks - NAY</p> <p>“Insulin Affordability and the Inflation Reduction Act: Medicare Beneficiary Savings by State and Demographics”, HHS, 1/24/2023. Effective January 1, 2023, out-of-pocket costs for insulin are capped at \$35 per monthly prescription among Medicare Part D enrollees under the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA). A similar cap takes effect in Medicare Part B on July 1, 2023. An estimated 1.5 million Medicare beneficiaries who use insulin would have saved \$734 million in Part D and \$27 million in Part B if these caps had been in effect in 2020.</p> <p>“Insulin prices plunge for lowans due to Biden’s American Rescue Plan”, Iowa Starting Line, 1/3/2024. Passed in 2022, the Inflation Reduction Act implemented a \$35 monthly cap on insulin for Medicare recipients. As a result, nearly 19,000 Iowa seniors on Medicare who use insulin are now charged no more than \$35 per month for an insulin prescription.</p> |
| <p>– she received a campaign contribution from a PAC for one of the largest insulin manufacturers in the country.</p> | <p><u>That same day, she took a \$1,000 contribution from Eli Lilly and Company PAC</u></p> <p>“Miller-Meeks took money from insulin maker, then voted against insulin caps”, Iowa Starting Line, 8/9/2024. For years, Miller-Meeks took thousands from pharmaceutical companies, and she received \$1,000 from an insulin manufacturer on the day she voted against a bill to cap insulin prices.</p> <p><i>Before voting against a bill that would cap insulin costs and allow the government to negotiate Medicare drug costs, Republican Rep.</i></p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p><i>Marianette Miller-Meeks, who represents Iowa’s First Congressional District in southeast Iowa, took thousands from an insulin manufacturer and other pharmaceutical companies.</i></p> <p><i>Records from the Federal Election Commission show Miller-Meeks received \$1,000 from Eli Lilly and Company PAC on Aug. 12, 2022, the day the Inflation Reduction Act was voted on in the US House of Representatives.</i></p> <p>October Quarterly Report – Miller-Meeks for Congress, Federal Election Commission filed, 9/15/2022. Eli Lilly and Company PAC - \$1,000</p> |
| <p>Miller-Meeks did it again. She took a contribution from a drug company lobbyist.</p> | <p><i><u>On May 21, 2025, Miller-Meeks received a \$1,000 contribution from Matthew Schumaker, a federal lobbyist for Vertex Pharmaceuticals.</u></i></p> <p>July Quarterly Report - Miller-Meeks for Congress, Federal Election Commission, filed 7/15/2025 5/21/2025: Mathew Schumaker – \$1,000 Government Affairs at Vertex Pharmaceuticals</p> <p>Matthew Schumaker, LinkedIn, accessed 5/28/2026. Vice President, Head of Federal Government Affairs at Vertex Pharmaceuticals.</p> <p>Lobbyist Activity: Matthew Schumaker, OpenSecrets, accessed 5/29/2026. Lobbying First: Vertex Pharmaceuticals</p> |
| <p><i>Then the very next day, Miller-Meeks voted to restrict Medicare’s ability to negotiate lower drug prices</i></p> | <p><i><u>The next day, Miller-Meeks voted for the One Big Beautiful Bill, which restricted Medicare’s ability to negotiate lower drug prices.</u></i></p> <p>“H.R 1”, Roll call #190, 5/22/2025. Miller-Meeks – Yea</p> <p>“People with Medicare Will Face Higher Costs for Some Orphan Drugs Due to Changes in the New Tax and Budget Law”, KFF, 10/20/2025. <i>Changes are coming to Medicare’s drug price negotiation program that could result in close to \$10 billion in additional Medicare spending over time and higher out-of-pocket costs for people with Medicare. Under the Medicare Drug Price Negotiation Program, the federal government is required to negotiate with drug companies for the price of some high-spending drugs that have been on the market for several years without competition, with the goal of lowering Medicare drug spending and helping to reduce out-of-pocket costs for people with Medicare. The law that established the negotiation program, the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, excluded certain types of drugs from negotiation, including orphan drugs approved to treat a single rare disease or condition. The new tax and budget reconciliation law passed by Congressional Republicans and signed by President Trump in July 2025 modifies the orphan drug exclusion in</i></p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| | <p>ways that will lead to higher Medicare spending – an additional \$8.8 billion, according to a recently-updated Congressional Budget Office (CBO) estimate, up from its original estimate of \$4.9 billion, which did not fully account for all the drugs that will likely be affected – and higher costs for beneficiaries who take these medications.</p> |
| <p>while voting the big drug companies over \$8 billion in special hand-outs. Miller-Meeks’s corruption is costing us.</p> | <p><u><i>The One Big Beautiful Bill restricted Medicare’s ability to negotiate lower prices, giving drugmakers \$8.8 billion in higher profits paid for by seniors and taxpayers</i></u></p> <p>“H.R 1”, Roll call #190, 7/3/2025. Miller-Meeks – Yea</p> <p><u>“Revised Estimate of Changes Under the 2025 Reconciliation Act for Exemptions From Medicare Price Negotiations for Orphan Drugs”, Congressional Budget Office, 10/20/2025.</u></p> <p>In CBO’s current assessment, the new law will affect price negotiations for several orphan drugs not originally included in the estimates of budgetary effects of section 71203 of the 2025 reconciliation act. After incorporating those drugs into its analysis, <i>CBO now estimates that the 10-year cost of the section will be \$8.8 billion.</i></p> |

3) Education

| Claim | Backup |
|---|---|
| <p>Miller-Meeks repeatedly voted to defund public education.</p> | <p><u><i>Miller-Meeks voted for the Limit, Save, Grow Act and the September 2023 Republican Continuing Resolution, both of which sought to gut funding for public schools</i></u></p> <p><u>"H.R.2811 - Limit, Save, Grow Act of 2023", Congress.gov, 4/26/2023.</u> Miller-Meeks - Yea</p> <p><u>"STATE FACT SHEETS: MAGA House Republicans' Default on America Act Would Have Devastating Impacts for Iowa", The White House, 5/2/2023. Gut Funding for Low-Income Students. The Default on America Act would cut approximately \$25 million in funding for schools serving low-income children— equivalent to removing nearly 400 teachers and specialized instructional support personnel from classrooms, impacting an estimated 110,000 students.</u></p> <p><u>"H.R.5525 - Continuing Appropriations and Border Security Enhancement Act, 2024", Congress.gov, 9/29/2023.</u> Miller-Meeks – YEA</p> <p><u>"By the Numbers: Impacts of Extreme House Republicans' 30% Cuts", The White House, 9/29/2023.</u> <i>Up to 145,000 teachers and staff could be removed from classrooms and school</i></p> |
| <p>She voted to threaten the jobs of more than 100,000 teachers and staff</p> | <p><u><i>The September 2023 Republican Continuing Resolution would have cut public education funding by 30% and could have led to nearly 150,000 teachers and staff losing their jobs.</i></u></p> <p><u>H.R. 5525, Vote #511, 9/29/2023.</u> Miller-Meeks - Yea</p> <p><u>"By the Numbers: Impacts of Extreme House Republicans' 30% Cuts", The White House, 9/29/2023.</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <i>Up to 145,000 teachers and staff could be removed from classrooms and school</i> |
| <p>and slash critical funding for math and science.</p> | <p><u><i>The Limit, Save, Grow Act and the September 2023 Republican Continuing Resolution reduced funding across the board for public education programs and teacher investment, which would have gutted math and science education.</i></u></p> <p><u>"H.R.2811 - Limit, Save, Grow Act of 2023", Congress.gov, 4/26/2023.</u> Miller-Meeks - Yea</p> <p><u>"STATE FACT SHEETS: MAGA House Republicans' Default on America Act</u></p> |

| | |
|---|---|
| | <p><u>Would Have Devastating Impacts for Iowa”, The White House, 5/2/2023.</u> <i>Gut Funding for Low-Income Students. The Default on America Act would cut approximately \$25 million in funding for schools serving low-income children</i>— equivalent to removing nearly 400 teachers and specialized instructional support personnel from classrooms, impacting an estimated 110,000 students.</p> <p><u>“H.R.5525 - Continuing Appropriations and Border Security Enhancement Act, 2024”, Congress.gov, 9/29/2023.</u> Miller-Meeks – YEA</p> <p><u>“By the Numbers: Impacts of Extreme House Republicans’ 30% Cuts”, The White House, 9/29/2023.</u> <i>Up to 145,000 teachers and staff could be removed from classrooms and school</i></p> |
| <p>Instead, Miller-Meeks voted to give billions of our tax dollars meant for Iowa’s public schools -- to fancy private schools.</p> | <p><i>Miller-Meeks cosponsored the Choice Act and voted for the One Big Beautiful Bill which shifted more than \$11 billion in taxpayer funds to private schools</i></p> <p><u>“H.R.5959 - CHOICE Act”, Congress.gov, 11/12/2021.</u> Miller-Meeks cosponsored this bill.</p> <p><u>“NCPE Opposes the ‘CHOICE Act’”, National Coalition for Public Education, accessed 5/28/2026.</u> <i>The CHOICE Act would funnel more than \$11 billion dollars of taxpayer money to private schools.</i> It would turn IDEA into a voucher, reduce Impact Aid, and expand the failing DC voucher program, all the while, stripping vital civil rights protections and basic accountability standards. Instead, Congress should invest these dollars in the public schools, which serve all students regardless of gender, disability, economic status, or educational achievement.</p> <p><u>“The New Scholarship Tax Credit: Potential Impacts on the Landscape of Federal K-12 Funding”, Bipartisan Policy Center, 12/3/2025.</u> <i>The credit will only apply to monetary donations. The Joint Committee on Taxation (JCT) estimates a \$25.9 billion cost over 10 years.</i></p> <p><i>Twenty states have a <u>scholarship tax credit</u> to help fund education tuition and related expenses. The federal credit is similar to these state programs, with specific restrictions determining the interaction of such programs. If a taxpayer is eligible to claim a related state credit, the federal credit must be reduced by that amount first, and any additional eligible contributions can be claimed up to the \$1,700 limit.</i></p> |

4) Abortion

| Claim | Backup |
|---|--|
| <p>Iowa has one of the strictest abortion bans in the country— because of Mariannette Miller-Meeks.</p> | <p><u><i>Miller-Meeks voted for an Iowa Constitutional Amendment removing the right to an abortion and voted against the Women’s Health Protect Act in Congress, which sought to codify the right to an abortion into law. Miller-Meeks said she “championed” Iowa’s state-level abortion ban.</i></u></p> <p><u>SJR 2001, Iowa Legislature, 2/17/2020.</u> Miller-Meeks – YEAs</p> <p><u>State of Iowa Senate Journal, 2/13/2020.</u> Senate Joint Resolution 2001, <i>a joint resolution proposing an amendment to the Constitution of the State of Iowa to protect life by declaring that the Constitution of the State of Iowa shall not be construed to recognize, grant, or secure a right to abortion or to require the public funding of abortion.</i> BE IT RESOLVED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF IOWA: Section 1. The following amendment to the Constitution of the State of Iowa is proposed: Article I of the Constitution of the State of Iowa is amended by adding the following new section: Sec. 26. Protection of life. <i>To defend the dignity of all human life, and to protect mothers and unborn children from efforts to expand abortion even to the day of birth, we the people of the State of Iowa declare that this Constitution shall not be construed to recognize, grant, or secure a right to abortion or to require the public funding of abortion.</i></p> <p><u>“H.R.3755 - Women’s Health Protection Act of 2021”, Congress.gov, 9/24/2021.</u> Miller-Meeks – Nays</p> <p><u>“House passes bill to create statutory right to abortion as a battle over Texas law heats up”, The Washington Post, 9/24/2021</u> <i>The measure states that health-care providers have a statutory right to provide, and patients have a right to receive, abortion services without any number of limitations that states and opponents of the procedure have sought to impose.</i> The measure would essentially codify Roe v. Wade, the 1973 Supreme Court decision guaranteeing the right to abortion before viability, usually around 22 to 24 weeks.</p> <p><u>“Get the Facts: Bohannon attacks Miller-Meeks on abortion in political TV ad.” KCCI, 9/12/24</u> When she ran for Congress a year later, Miller-Meeks did express support for the abortion ban. She told the Iowa City Press-Citizen that she "championed" Gov. Kim Reynolds' priorities, including her "fetal heartbeat" bill.</p> |

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Miller-Meeks calls herself "100% pro-life."</p> | <p><u>Miller-Meeks said she was proud of her "100% pro-life" voting record.</u></p> <p><u>"In Iowa's 2nd District, a race to see who's Trumpiest", The Gazette, 5/31/2020.</u></p> <p>The candidates have undergone a political metamorphosis not unlike Trump, who flip-flopped on several important policy issues leading up to his election in 2016. Miller-Meeks and Schilling both were basically moderate Republicans until recasting themselves in Trump's likeness for this election cycle.</p> <p><i>Abortion has been a top issue in the primary. It's a peculiar thing for candidates to say they are '100 percent pro-Trump' and also '100 percent pro-life,' since Trump spent most of his life proudly supporting abortion rights. It's not my position, but you would think a true anti-abortion champion would be committing to hold Trump accountable, not swearing blind allegiance.</i></p> <p><u>"In Iowa's 2nd District, a race to see who's Trumpiest", The Gazette, 4/15/2026.</u></p> <p>Now Miller-Meeks is 'the only reliable pro-Trump conservative' <i>with a '100% pro-life voting record,'</i> she says. Schilling disputes that, backed by video clips and social media posts.</p> |
| <p>She wrote a bill that bans all abortions -- nationwide,</p> | <p><u>Miller-Meeks was a cosponsor of the Life at Conception Act, which would ban all abortions nationwide, with no exceptions</u></p> <p><u>"H.R.1011 - Life at Conception Act", Congress.gov, 5/14/2021.</u></p> <p>Miller-Meeks is a cosponsor of this bill.</p> <p><u>"House Republicans Proudly Endorse National Abortion Ban, Limits to IVF", The New Republic, 3/21/2024.</u></p> <p>This bill has become highly contentious in the wake of the Alabama Supreme Court ruling that classified fertilized embryos as human children. First introduced in 2021 with 166 Republican co-sponsors and then again in 2023 with 124, <i>the Life at Conception Act would have established that life begins at fertilization.</i></p> <p><u>"Fact Checker: Does Ashley Hinson support criminalizing abortions with no exceptions?", The Gazette, 8/4/2022.</u></p> <p><i>The Life at Conception Act, co-sponsored by Hinson, would outlaw all abortions with no exceptions in cases of rape, incest or risk to the pregnant person.</i></p> |
| <p>with no exceptions, even in cases of rape, incest, or to save a woman's life.</p> | <p><u>The Life at Conception Act abortion ban does not include exceptions for rape, incest or life of the mother.</u></p> <p><u>"H.R.1011 - Life at Conception Act", Congress.gov, 5/14/2021.</u></p> <p>Miller-Meeks is a cosponsor of this bill.</p> |

| | |
|---|--|
| | <p><u>“House Republicans Proudly Endorse National Abortion Ban, Limits to IVF”, The New Republic, 3/21/2024.</u></p> <p>This bill has become <u>highly contentious</u> in the wake of the <u>Alabama Supreme Court ruling</u> that classified fertilized embryos as human children. First introduced in 2021 with <u>166 Republican co-sponsors</u> and then again in 2023 with <u>124</u>, <i>the Life at Conception Act would have established that life begins at fertilization.</i></p> <p><u>“Fact Checker: Does Ashley Hinson support criminalizing abortions with no exceptions?”, The Gazette, 8/4/2022.</u></p> <p><i>The Life at Conception Act, co-sponsored by Hinson, would outlaw all abortions with no exceptions in cases of rape, incest or risk to the pregnant person.</i></p> |
| <p>Miller-Meeks would take away women’s reproductive rights and freedoms. Mariannette Miller-Meeks does not share our values.</p> | <p><u>“H.R.1011 - Life at Conception Act”, Congress.gov, 5/14/2021.</u></p> <p>Miller-Meeks is a cosponsor of this bill.</p> <p><u>“House Republicans Proudly Endorse National Abortion Ban, Limits to IVF”, The New Republic, 3/21/2024.</u></p> <p>This bill has become <u>highly contentious</u> in the wake of the <u>Alabama Supreme Court ruling</u> that classified fertilized embryos as human children. First introduced in 2021 with <u>166 Republican co-sponsors</u> and then again in 2023 with <u>124</u>, <i>the Life at Conception Act would have established that life begins at fertilization.</i></p> <p><u>“Fact Checker: Does Ashley Hinson support criminalizing abortions with no exceptions?”, The Gazette, 8/4/2022.</u></p> <p><i>The Life at Conception Act, co-sponsored by Hinson, would outlaw all abortions with no exceptions in cases of rape, incest or risk to the pregnant person.</i></p> |